



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,333	11/26/2003	Sanjay Manandhar	ITJ-002.01 (i/k/a SJM-001	9484
25181	7590	05/02/2006	EXAMINER	
FOLEY HOAG, LLP			BELL, CORY C	
PATENT GROUP, WORLD TRADE CENTER WEST			ART UNIT	
155 SEAPORT BLVD			PAPER NUMBER	
BOSTON, MA 02110			2164	

DATE MAILED: 05/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/723,333

Applicant(s)

MANANDHAR, SANJAY

Examiner

Cory C. Bell

Art Unit

2164

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 26 November 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.



SAM RIMELL
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Attachment(s)

- ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/17/2004.
- ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____.
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- ☐ Other: ____.

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-21 have been examined.

Drawings

2. New corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in this application because the drawings are hand drawn and difficult to read. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsman outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1-3, 5-12, and 15-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US 2002/0094787, known hereafter as Avent et al.

5. **Claim 1** is rejected as Avent teaches the limitations as follows:

1. A method for user access to a publicly accessible information source comprising: providing a publicly accessible information source having data and an integrated visible display, the visible display presenting at least a first subset of the data; {Para 6 lines 1-8} upon viewing the integrated visible display or matching pre-programmed user requirements, sending query data to the publicly accessible information source; {Para 28 the act of using a menu to get additional information is querying the publicly available information source} and receiving at least a second subset of the publicly accessible information source data from the publicly accessible information source, {Para 28 downloading information from the menu} wherein the publicly accessible information source is simultaneously accessible to a plurality of users in the vicinity of the publicly accessible information source. {This system is inherently accessible by all users in the vicinity of the transceiver}

6. **Claim 2** is rejected as Avent teaches the limitations as follows:

2. The method of claim 1 wherein the publicly accessible information source sends and receives data using a transceiver. {Para 23 “the broadcasting device may be comprised of a transceiver mounted or embedded in the display”}

7. **Claim 3** is rejected as Avent teaches the limitations as follows:

3. The method of claim 1 wherein the publicly accessible information source performs at least

Art Unit: 2164

one of storing data, organizing data, and sorting data, using a database. {Para 25 “data may be stored in the transceiver itself in an internal database”}

8. **Claim 5** is rejected as Avent teaches the limitations as follows:

5. The method of claim 2 wherein the transceiver communicates using a hardwired connection.

{Para 23 “the transceiver may communicate wirelessly or there can be a hardwire connection.”}

9. **Claim 6** is rejected as Avent teaches the limitations as follows:

6. The method of claim 2 wherein the transceiver communicates using infrared light. {Para 6}

10. **Claim 7** is rejected as Avent teaches the limitations as follows:

7. The method of claim 2 wherein the transceiver communicates using radio frequency communications. {Para 6}

11. **Claim 8** is rejected as Avent teaches the limitations as follows:

8. The method of claim 1 further comprising initiating a telephone call or accessing a URL using the received second subset of data. {Para 8}

12. **Claim 9** is rejected as Avent teaches the limitations as follows:

9. The method of claim 1 further comprising performing a commercial transaction using the received second subset of data. {Para 14}

Art Unit: 2164

13. **Claim 10** is rejected as Avent teaches the limitations as follows:

See Claim 1 rejection.

14. **Claim 11** is rejected as Avent teaches the limitations as follows:

See Claim 2 rejection.

15. **Claim 12** is rejected as Avent teaches the limitations as follows:

See Claim 3 rejection.

16. **Claim 15** is rejected as Avent teaches the limitations as follows:

See Claim 5 rejection.

17. **Claim 16** is rejected as Avent teaches the limitations as follows:

See Claim 6 rejection.

18. **Claim 17** is rejected as Avent teaches the limitations as follows:

See Claim 7 rejection.

19. **Claim 18** is rejected as Avent teaches the limitations as follows:

18. The method of claim 10 wherein the user device is a personal digital assistant. {Para 6}

Art Unit: 2164

20. **Claim 19** is rejected as Avent teaches the limitations as follows:

19. The method of claim 10 wherein the user device is a cellular telephone. {Para 6}

21. **Claim 20** is rejected as Avent teaches the limitations as follows:

See Claim 8 rejection.

22. **Claim 21** is rejected as Avent teaches the limitations as follows:

See Claim 9 rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

23. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

24. Claims 4, 13, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Avent et al. in view of US 2002/0078253, know hereafter Szondy et al.

25. **Claims 4 13 and 14** are rejected for the following reasons:

Avent et al. teaches the claims upon which the claims are dependent, however it fails to expressly disclose negotiating between the user device and the data provider to determine the content type and the device capabilities. This is taught but Szondy et al. in figure 2 and paras 3 and 5. Thus it would have been obvious to include the feature in these claims in the invention of

Art Unit: 2164


Avent as they allow for a large number of different devices to be used and reduces the amount of memory needed to provide the service to the devices.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cory C. Bell whose telephone number is (571) 272 2736. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Rones can be reached on (571) 272 4085. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


SAM RIMELL
PRIMARY EXAMINER